



## FEP Medical Policy Manual

### FEP 8.03.10 Cognitive Rehabilitation

**Annual Effective Policy Date: July 1, 2024**

**Original Policy Date: December 2011**

**Related Policies:**

8.03.13 - Sensory Integration Therapy and Auditory Integration Therapy

## Cognitive Rehabilitation

### Description

#### Description

Cognitive rehabilitation is a therapeutic approach designed to improve cognitive functioning after central nervous system insult. It includes an assembly of therapy methods that retrain or alleviate problems caused by deficits in attention, visual processing, language, memory, reasoning, problem-solving, and executive functions. Cognitive rehabilitation comprises tasks to reinforce or reestablish previously learned patterns of behavior or to establish new compensatory mechanisms for impaired neurologic systems. Cognitive rehabilitation may be performed by a physician, psychologist, or a physical, occupational, or speech therapist.

#### OBJECTIVE

The objective of this evidence review is to determine whether cognitive rehabilitation delivered by a qualified professional improves the net health outcome in individuals with cognitive deficits.

## POLICY STATEMENT

Cognitive rehabilitation (as a distinct and definable component of the rehabilitation process) may be considered **medically necessary** in the rehabilitation of individuals with cognitive impairment due to traumatic brain injury.

Cognitive rehabilitation (as a distinct and definable component of the rehabilitation process) is considered **investigational** for all other applications, including, but not limited to, stroke, postencephalitic or postencephalopathic individuals, autism spectrum disorder, seizure disorders, multiple sclerosis, the aging population, including individuals with Alzheimer disease, individuals with cognitive deficits due to brain tumor or previous treatment for cancer, and individuals with post-acute cognitive sequelae of SARS-CoV-2 infection.

## POLICY GUIDELINES

For services to be considered medically necessary, they must be provided by a qualified licensed professional and must be prescribed by the attending physician as part of the written care plan. Additionally, there must be a potential for improvement (based on preinjury function), and patients must be able to participate actively in the program. Active participation requires sufficient cognitive function to understand and participate in the program, as well as adequate language expression and comprehension (ie, participants should not have severe aphasia). Ongoing services are considered necessary only when there is demonstrated continued objective improvement in function.

Duration and intensity of cognitive rehabilitation therapy programs vary. One approach for comprehensive cognitive rehabilitation is a 16-week outpatient program comprising 5 hours of therapy daily for 4 days each week. In another approach, cognitive group treatment occurs for three 2-hour sessions weekly and three 1-hour individual sessions (total, 9 hours weekly). Cognitive rehabilitation programs for specific deficits (eg, memory training) are less intensive and generally have 1 or 2 sessions (30 or 60 minutes) in a week for 4 to 10 weeks.

## BENEFIT APPLICATION

Experimental or investigational procedures, treatments, drugs, or devices are not covered (See General Exclusion Section of brochure).

Cognitive rehabilitation may be managed through a case management approach. Contractual limitations on rehabilitative services may apply.

## FDA REGULATORY STATUS

Cognitive rehabilitation is not subject to regulation by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration.

## RATIONALE

### Summary of Evidence

For individuals who have cognitive deficits due to traumatic brain injury (TBI) who receive cognitive rehabilitation delivered by a qualified professional, the evidence includes randomized controlled trials (RCTs), nonrandomized comparison studies, case series, and systematic reviews. Relevant outcomes are functional outcomes and quality of life. The cognitive rehabilitation trials have methodologic limitations and have reported mixed results, indicating there is no uniform or consistent evidence base supporting the efficacy of this technique. Systematic reviews have generally concluded that efficacy of cognitive rehabilitation is uncertain. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

The policies contained in the FEP Medical Policy Manual are developed to assist in administering contractual benefits and do not constitute medical advice. They are not intended to replace or substitute for the independent medical judgment of a practitioner or other health care professional in the treatment of an individual member. The Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association does not intend by the FEP Medical Policy Manual, or by any particular medical policy, to recommend, advocate, encourage or discourage any particular medical technologies. Medical decisions relative to medical technologies are to be made strictly by members/patients in consultation with their health care providers. The conclusion that a particular service or supply is medically necessary does not constitute a representation or warranty that the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Service Benefit Plan covers (or pays for) this service or supply for a particular member.

For individuals who have cognitive deficits due to dementia who receive cognitive rehabilitation delivered by a qualified professional, the evidence includes RCTs, nonrandomized comparison studies, case series, and systematic reviews. Relevant outcomes are functional outcomes and quality of life. A Cochrane systematic review focusing on outcomes related to everyday function found statistically significantly improved participant self-ratings of goal attainment related to everyday functioning both immediately following rehabilitation and after 3 to 12 months follow-up post-rehabilitation. There was less certainty regarding whether cognitive rehabilitation had a meaningful effect on quality of life. One large RCT evaluating a goal-oriented cognitive rehabilitation program reported a significantly less functional decline in 1 of 2 functional scales and lower rates of institutionalization in the cognitive rehabilitation group compared with usual care at 24 months. These results need replication. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have cognitive deficits due to stroke who receive cognitive rehabilitation delivered by a qualified professional, the evidence includes RCTs and systematic reviews. Relevant outcomes are functional outcomes and quality of life. Four systematic reviews evaluating 3 separate domains of cognitive function have shown no benefit of cognitive rehabilitation or effects of clinical importance. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have cognitive deficits due to MS who receive cognitive rehabilitation delivered by a qualified professional, the evidence includes RCTs and systematic reviews. Relevant outcomes are functional outcomes and quality of life. Systematic reviews of RCTs have shown no significant effects of cognitive rehabilitation on cognitive outcomes. Although numerous RCTs have investigated cognitive rehabilitation for MS, high-quality trials are lacking. The ability to draw conclusions based on the overall body of evidence is limited by the heterogeneity of patient samples, interventions, and outcome measures. Further, results of the available RCTs have been mixed, with positive studies mostly reporting short-term benefits. Evidence for clinically significant, durable improvements in cognition is currently lacking. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have cognitive deficits due to post-acute sequelae of SARS-CoV-2 infection who receive cognitive rehabilitation delivered by a qualified professional, no relevant evidence was identified. Relevant outcomes are functional outcomes and quality of life. Systematic reviews have reported on the prevalence and duration of cognitive symptoms among patients with varying acute infection severity and treatment settings. Limited reports examining the outcomes of rehabilitation in patients with post-acute COVID-19 have primarily focused on physical and respiratory rehabilitation. Additionally, the natural history of cognitive deficits experienced by patients who have recovered from acute COVID-19 requires further elucidation. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have cognitive deficits due to epilepsy, autism spectrum disorder (ASD), postencephalopathy, or cancer who receive cognitive rehabilitation delivered by a qualified professional, the evidence includes RCTs, nonrandomized comparison studies, and case series. Relevant outcomes are functional outcomes and quality of life. The quantity of studies for these conditions is much less than that for the other cognitive rehabilitation indications. Systematic reviews generally have not supported the efficacy of cognitive rehabilitation for these conditions. Relevant RCTs have had methodologic limitations, most often very short lengths of follow-up, which do not permit strong conclusions about efficacy. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

## SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

### Practice Guidelines and Position Statements

Guidelines or position statements will be considered for inclusion in "Supplemental Information" if they were issued by, or jointly by, a US professional society, an international society with US representation, or National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Priority will be given to guidelines that are informed by a systematic review, include strength of evidence ratings, and include a description of management of conflict of interest.

#### American Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation

In 2021, the American Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation (AAPM&R) Multi-Disciplinary Post-Acute Sequelae of SARS-CoV-2 Infection (PASC) Collaborative issued a consensus guidance statement on the assessment and treatment of cognitive symptoms in patients with PASC.<sup>54</sup> PASC cognitive symptom assessment and treatment recommendations are summarized in Table 1.

**Table 1. Post-Acute Sequelae of SARS-CoV-2 Infection Cognitive Symptom Assessment and Treatment Recommendations<sup>a</sup>**

<b>Assessment Recommendations</b>	
<b>Recommendation #</b>	<b>Statement</b>
1	"Patients should be screened for signs of cognitive symptoms using validated tools and instruments."
2	<p>"Patients should be evaluated for conditions that may exacerbate cognitive symptoms and warrant further testing and potential subspecialty referral. [...] Particular areas include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sleep impairment</li> <li>• Mood, including anxiety, depression, and posttraumatic stress disorder</li> <li>• Fatigue</li> <li>• Endocrine abnormalities</li> <li>• Autoimmune disorders</li> </ul> <p>Note: Patients often report dissatisfaction with their care because of their persistent symptoms being attributed to psychological factors. It is important to note that mood disorders may be secondary to persistent medical conditions or one of many factors leading to cognitive symptoms."</p>
3	"Patients should have a thorough neurological examination to identify focal neurological deficits."
3a	"For those patients identified with new or worsening focal neurological deficits (including new or worsening cognitive symptoms) an emergent evaluation is warranted; neuroimaging should be considered."
4	"The following basic lab workup should be considered to screen for reversible factors contributing to cognitive symptoms. The initial lab workup in new patients or those without lab workup in the 3 months prior to visit including complete blood count, vitamin B12, thiamine, folate, homocysteine, 1,25-dihydroxy vitamin D, magnesium, liver function tests, comprehensive metabolic panel thyroid function tests (thyroid stimulating hormone, free T3, free T4). In high-risk patients, one may consider syphilis rapid plasma regain and human immunodeficiency virus testing [...]"
5	<p>"Clinicians should conduct a full patient history with review of preexisting conditions and comprehensive medication and supplement review for those that may contribute to cognitive symptoms.</p> <p>Of note, patients with PASC often present on antihistamine, anticholinergic, and antidepressant/anxiolytic medications that can contribute to cognitive symptoms."</p>
5a	"Clinicians should validate patient history through the collection of collateral history, including preexisting function and conditions, from care team/primary care, patient family or care partner, or close contact as available."
6	"Clinicians should assess impact of cognitive symptoms using standardized patient-reported assessments, to include activities of daily living, instrumental activities of daily living, school, work and avocational (ie, hobbies), and quality of life."
<b>Treatment Recommendations</b>	
<b>Recommendation #</b>	<b>Statement</b>
1	"For patients who screen positive for cognitive symptoms, refer to a specialist (ie, speech-language pathologist, occupational therapist, neuropsychologist) with expertise in formal cognitive assessment and remediation."
2	"Treat, in collaboration with appropriate specialists, underlying medical conditions, such as pain, insomnia/sleep disorders (including poor sleep hygiene), and mood disorders that may be contributing to cognitive symptoms."
3	"Complete, in collaboration with patient primary care provider, medication polypharmacy reduction, weaning or deprescribing medications if medically feasible with emphasis on medications that may impact cognition."

4	"Reinforce sleep hygiene techniques including nonpharmacologic approaches as first line of sleep remediation."
5	"Similar to patients experiencing "physical" fatigue, patients should be advised to begin an individualized and structured, titrated return to activity program."
5a	"For patients who achieve a return to their normal, daily activities, regular exercise (at least 2 - 3 times/week of aerobic exercise) may be effective in improving cognition and also contribute to improved sleep patterns."
5b	"Frequent assessment of the impact of return to normal, daily activities (including school, work, driving, operating heavy machinery, etc.) is recommended to ensure that symptoms do not flare and exercise is tolerated."

<sup>a</sup> Adapted from Fine et al (2021).<sup>54</sup>,

In 2023, the American Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation (AAPM&R) Multi-Disciplinary Post-Acute Sequelae of SARS-CoV-2 Infection (PASC) Collaborative issued a consensus guidance statement on the assessment and treatment of neurologic symptoms in patients with PASC.<sup>80</sup>, PASC neurologic symptom assessment and treatment recommendations are summarized in Table 2.

**Table 2. Post-Acute Sequelae of SARS-CoV-2 Infection Neurologic Symptom Assessment and Treatment Recommendations<sup>a</sup>**

<b>Assessment Recommendations</b>	
<b>Recommendation #</b>	<b>Statement</b>
1	"Clinicians should conduct a full patient history including a review of predisposing comorbidities, prior neurologic symptoms or disorders, relevant hospitalizations, time course and severity of COVID-19 infection(s), COVID-19 treatments, vaccines/boosters, pertinent family history, and social history."
2	"Clinicians should perform a thorough neurological examination to identify focal neurological deficits."
3	"Evaluate for medication and supplement use that may impact signs, symptoms, or assessment parameters"
4	"The following basic lab workup should be considered in new patients or for those without a lab workup in the 3 months prior to the visit: complete blood count with differential; chemistries including renal and hepatic function tests, thyroid stimulating hormone, c-reactive protein, erythrocyte sedimentation rate, vitamins B1, B6, B12, and D, magnesium, and hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c)."
5	"Assess for history of previous and/or current alcohol and substance use, current diet and exercise habits, physical and cognitive activity levels, and social determinants of health (eg, housing, employment, family, insurance, access to community resources, social stressors, etc.)"
6	"Assess for changes in basic and instrumental activities of daily living, including participation at work, school, community avocational (ie, hobbies) activities."
7	"On initial evaluation, obtain standardized measures of activity performance to compare to normal control values and to guide the initial activity prescription. Repeat the standardized measures of activity performance at follow-up visits to quantify functional changes and guide progression of the activity prescription."
<b>Treatment Recommendations</b>	
<b>Recommendation #</b>	<b>Statement</b>
1	"In collaboration with primary care or appropriate specialist treat underlying medical conditions, such as pain, psychiatric, renal/endocrine, cardiovascular, neurological, respiratory, etc., which may be contributing to neurologic symptoms."

2	"In collaboration with primary care or appropriate specialist, consider polypharmacy reduction, weaning or deprescribing medications and supplements where medically feasible."
3	"For patients who achieve a return to their daily activities, consider recommending regular physical activity as tolerated, which may be effective in improving many neurologic symptoms and also contribute to improved sleep patterns."
4	"For patients with neurologic sequelae affecting gait, mobility, cognitive status or activities of daily living, consider referral to physical medicine and rehabilitation physician and/or allied health professionals (eg, physical therapy, occupational therapy, speech language pathology and social work) for patient-specific recommendations to increase function and independence. To optimize functional outcomes, allied health professionals should preferably be familiar with treating sensorimotor deficits, autonomic dysfunction, and post-exertional fatigue."
5	"Provide counseling, referrals to community resources, and education for risk factor modification in the areas of: alcohol and substance use; healthy dietary pattern and hydration; return to activity, as tolerated; medications and supplements; sleep hygiene; social determinants of health."

<sup>a</sup> Adapted from Melamed et al (2023).<sup>80</sup>.

## American Congress of Rehabilitation Medicine

In 2013, based on a systematic review, the American Congress of Rehabilitation Medicine recommended process-based cognitive rehabilitation strategies (eg, attention process training, strategy acquisition and internalization, self-monitoring, corrective feedback) to treat attention and memory deficits in children and adolescents with brain cancers who undergo surgical resection and/or radiotherapy. The strength of evidence for recommendations were determined according to American Academy of Neurology study classification, and no financial conflicts of interest were declared by the authors.<sup>67</sup>,

## National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

In 2013 (updated in 2023), NICE guidance on stroke rehabilitation recommended cognitive rehabilitation for visual neglect and memory and attention deficits that impact function.<sup>81</sup> Interventions should focus on relevant functional tasks (eg, "errorless learning") and "elaborative techniques" (eg, "mnemonics," "encoding" strategies) for memory impairments. The guidance states that providers should "Make special arrangements for people after stroke who have communication or cognitive needs (for example, by holding joint speech and language therapy and physiotherapy sessions for those with communication difficulties)."

In 2018, NICE guidance on dementia management suggested: "Consider cognitive rehabilitation or occupational therapy to support functional ability in people living with mild to moderate dementia."<sup>82</sup>.

In 2021 (updated in 2024), NICE issued a rapid guideline on managing the long-term effects of COVID-19.<sup>83</sup> The guideline recommends using a "multidisciplinary approach to guide rehabilitation, including physical, psychological and psychiatric aspects of management." Cognitive rehabilitation was not specifically addressed. Assessing the clinical effectiveness of "different service models of multimodality/multidisciplinary post-COVID-19 syndrome rehabilitation in improving patient-reported outcomes (such as quality of life)" was listed as a key recommendation for research.

The NICE guidance development is a transparent process that provides detailed information on the strength of recommendations and information on potential conflicts of interest for guideline committee members.

## Institute of Medicine

In 2011, the Institute of Medicine published a report on cognitive rehabilitation for traumatic brain injury that included a comprehensive review of the literature and recommendations.<sup>84</sup> The report concluded that "current evidence provides limited support for the efficacy of CRT [cognitive rehabilitation therapy] interventions. The evidence varies in both the quality and volume of studies and therefore is not yet sufficient to develop definitive guidelines for health professionals on how to apply CRT in practice." The report recommended that standardization of clinical variables, intervention components, and outcome measures was necessary to improve the evidence base for this treatment. The Institute of Medicine also recommended future studies with larger sample sizes and more comprehensive sets of clinical variables and outcome measures.

## Veterans Administration

In 2009, the Veterans Administration/Department of Veterans Affairs published guidelines on the treatment of concussion and mild traumatic brain injury,<sup>85</sup> which were updated in 2016<sup>86</sup> and most recently in 2021.<sup>87</sup> These guidelines addressed cognitive rehabilitation in the setting of persistent symptoms. The 2021 guidelines stated:

- "We suggest that patients with symptoms attributed to mild traumatic brain injury [mTBI] who present with memory, attention, or executive function problems despite appropriate management of other contributing factors (e.g., sleep, pain, behavioral health, headache, disequilibrium) should be referred for a short trial of clinician-directed cognitive rehabilitation services." [Strength of recommendation: "weak for."]
- "We suggest against the use of self-administered computer training programs for the cognitive rehabilitation of patients with symptoms attributed to mTBI." [Strength of recommendation: "weak against."]

**A 2019 Veterans Administration/Department of Defense practice guideline on the management of stroke rehabilitation found "insufficient evidence to recommend for or against the use of any specific cognitive rehabilitation methodology or pharmacotherapy to improve cognitive outcomes" and noted "there has been very little advancement in the evidence regarding the use of specific cognitive rehabilitation strategies or techniques to improve clinical outcomes following stroke."**<sup>88</sup>

## U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendations

Not applicable.

## Medicare National Coverage

There is no national coverage determination. In the absence of a national coverage determination, coverage decisions are left to the discretion of local Medicare carriers.

## REFERENCES

1. Hardy KK, Willard VW, Allen TM, et al. Working memory training in survivors of pediatric cancer: a randomized pilot study. *Psychooncology*. Aug 2013; 22(8): 1856-65. PMID 23203754
2. Kesler S, Hadi Hosseini SM, Heckler C, et al. Cognitive training for improving executive function in chemotherapy-treated breast cancer survivors. *Clin Breast Cancer*. Aug 2013; 13(4): 299-306. PMID 23647804
3. Bonavita S, Sacco R, Della Corte M, et al. Computer-aided cognitive rehabilitation improves cognitive performances and induces brain functional connectivity changes in relapsing remitting multiple sclerosis patients: an exploratory study. *J Neurol*. Jan 2015; 262(1): 91-100. PMID 25308631
4. De Giglio L, De Luca F, Prosperini L, et al. A low-cost cognitive rehabilitation with a commercial video game improves sustained attention and executive functions in multiple sclerosis: a pilot study. *Neurorehabil Neural Repair*. Jun 2015; 29(5): 453-61. PMID 25398725
5. Gich J, Freixanet J, Garca R, et al. A randomized, controlled, single-blind, 6-month pilot study to evaluate the efficacy of MS-Line!: a cognitive rehabilitation programme for patients with multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler*. Sep 2015; 21(10): 1332-43. PMID 25716880
6. Park M, Ha Y. Effects of Virtual Reality-Based Cognitive Rehabilitation in Stroke Patients: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Healthcare (Basel)*. Oct 29 2023; 11(21). PMID 37957991
7. Feinstein A. The CogEx trial - Cognitive rehabilitation and aerobic exercise for cognitive impairment in people with progressive multiple sclerosis: A randomised, blinded, sham-controlled trial. *Mult Scler*. Nov 2023; 29(13): 1523-1525. PMID 37916484
8. Teasdale G, Maas A, Lecky F, et al. The Glasgow Coma Scale at 40 years: standing the test of time. *Lancet Neurol*. Aug 2014; 13(8): 844-54. PMID 25030516
9. Austin TA, Hodges CB, Thomas ML, et al. Meta-analysis of Cognitive Rehabilitation Interventions in Veterans and Service Members With Traumatic Brain Injuries. *J Head Trauma Rehabil*. Jan 25 2024. PMID 38270528
10. Chung CS, Pollock A, Campbell T, et al. Cognitive rehabilitation for executive dysfunction in adults with stroke or other adult non-progressive acquired brain damage. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. Apr 30 2013; 2013(4): CD008391. PMID 23633354
11. Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association Technology Evaluation Center (TEC). Cognitive rehabilitation. TEC Assessments. 1997; Volume 12: Tab 6.
12. Chiaravalloti ND, Sandry J, Moore NB, et al. An RCT to Treat Learning Impairment in Traumatic Brain Injury: The TBI-MEM Trial. *Neurorehabil Neural Repair*. Jul 2016; 30(6): 539-50. PMID 26359341
13. das Nair R, Bradshaw LE, Carpenter H, et al. A group memory rehabilitation programme for people with traumatic brain injuries: the ReMemBrln RCT. *Health Technol Assess*. Apr 2019; 23(16): 1-194. PMID 31032782

14. Kudlicka A, Martyr A, Bahar-Fuchs A, et al. Cognitive rehabilitation for people with mild to moderate dementia. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* Jun 29 2023; 6(6): CD013388. PMID 37389428
15. Bahar-Fuchs A, Martyr A, Goh AM, et al. Cognitive training for people with mild to moderate dementia. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* Mar 25 2019; 3(3): CD013069. PMID 30909318
16. Huntley JD, Gould RL, Liu K, et al. Do cognitive interventions improve general cognition in dementia? A meta-analysis and meta-regression. *BMJ Open.* Apr 02 2015; 5(4): e005247. PMID 25838501
17. Bahar-Fuchs A, Clare L, Woods B. Cognitive training and cognitive rehabilitation for mild to moderate Alzheimer's disease and vascular dementia. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* Jun 05 2013; 2013(6): CD003260. PMID 23740535
18. Clare L, Linden DE, Woods RT, et al. Goal-oriented cognitive rehabilitation for people with early-stage Alzheimer disease: a single-blind randomized controlled trial of clinical efficacy. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry.* Oct 2010; 18(10): 928-39. PMID 20808145
19. Martin M, Clare L, Altgassen AM, et al. Cognition-based interventions for healthy older people and people with mild cognitive impairment. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* Jan 19 2011; (1): CD006220. PMID 21249675
20. Clare L, Kudlicka A, Oyeboe JR, et al. Individual goal-oriented cognitive rehabilitation to improve everyday functioning for people with early-stage dementia: A multicentre randomised controlled trial (the GREAT trial). *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry.* May 2019; 34(5): 709-721. PMID 30724405
21. Amieva H, Robert PH, Grandoulier AS, et al. Group and individual cognitive therapies in Alzheimer's disease: the ETNA3 randomized trial. *Int Psychogeriatr.* May 2016; 28(5): 707-17. PMID 26572551
22. Regan B, Wells Y, Farrow M, et al. MAXCOG-Maximizing Cognition: A Randomized Controlled Trial of the Efficacy of Goal-Oriented Cognitive Rehabilitation for People with Mild Cognitive Impairment and Early Alzheimer Disease. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry.* Mar 2017; 25(3): 258-269. PMID 28034509
23. Thivierge S, Jean L, Simard M. A randomized cross-over controlled study on cognitive rehabilitation of instrumental activities of daily living in Alzheimer disease. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry.* Nov 2014; 22(11): 1188-99. PMID 23871120
24. Brunelle-Hamann L, Thivierge S, Simard M. Impact of a cognitive rehabilitation intervention on neuropsychiatric symptoms in mild to moderate Alzheimer's disease. *Neuropsychol Rehabil.* 2015; 25(5): 677-707. PMID 25312605
25. Kurz A, Thne-Otto A, Cramer B, et al. CORDIAL: cognitive rehabilitation and cognitive-behavioral treatment for early dementia in Alzheimer disease: a multicenter, randomized, controlled trial. *Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord.* 2012; 26(3): 246-53. PMID 21986341
26. Chapman SB, Weiner MF, Rackley A, et al. Effects of cognitive-communication stimulation for Alzheimer's disease patients treated with donepezil. *J Speech Lang Hear Res.* Oct 2004; 47(5): 1149-63. PMID 15603468
27. Spector A, Thorgrimsen L, Woods B, et al. Efficacy of an evidence-based cognitive stimulation therapy programme for people with dementia: randomised controlled trial. *Br J Psychiatry.* Sep 2003; 183: 248-54. PMID 12948999
28. Bowen A, Hazelton C, Pollock A, et al. Cognitive rehabilitation for spatial neglect following stroke. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* Jul 01 2013; 2013(7): CD003586. PMID 23813503
29. Loetscher T, Lincoln NB. Cognitive rehabilitation for attention deficits following stroke. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* May 31 2013; 2013(5): CD002842. PMID 23728639
30. Nair RD, Lincoln NB. Cognitive rehabilitation for memory deficits following stroke. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* Jul 18 2007; (3): CD002293. PMID 17636703
31. das Nair R, Cogger H, Worthington E, et al. Cognitive rehabilitation for memory deficits after stroke. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* Sep 01 2016; 9(9): CD002293. PMID 27581994
32. Loetscher T, Potter KJ, Wong D, et al. Cognitive rehabilitation for attention deficits following stroke. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* Nov 10 2019; 2019(11). PMID 31706263
33. Gillespie DC, Bowen A, Chung CS, et al. Rehabilitation for post-stroke cognitive impairment: an overview of recommendations arising from systematic reviews of current evidence. *Clin Rehabil.* Feb 2015; 29(2): 120-8. PMID 24942480
34. Diamond PT. Rehabilitative management of post-stroke visuospatial inattention. *Disabil Rehabil.* Jul 10 2001; 23(10): 407-12. PMID 11400902
35. Zucchella C, Capone A, Codella V, et al. Assessing and restoring cognitive functions early after stroke. *Funct Neurol.* 2014; 29(4): 255-62. PMID 25764255
36. das Nair R, Ferguson H, Stark DL, et al. Memory Rehabilitation for people with multiple sclerosis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* Mar 14 2012; (3): CD008754. PMID 22419337
37. Rosti-Otajrvi EM, Hmlinen PI. Neuropsychological rehabilitation for multiple sclerosis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* Feb 11 2014; (2): CD009131. PMID 24515630
38. das Nair R, Martin KJ, Lincoln NB. Memory rehabilitation for people with multiple sclerosis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* Mar 23 2016; 3: CD008754. PMID 27004596
39. Redero D, Lzaro E, Vzquez N, et al. Neuropsychological rehabilitation in patients with relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis: a systematic review. *Appl Neuropsychol Adult.* Aug 28 2023; 1-9. PMID 37641201
40. Lincoln NB, Bradshaw LE, Constantinescu CS, et al. Cognitive rehabilitation for attention and memory in people with multiple sclerosis: a randomized controlled trial (CRAMMS). *Clin Rehabil.* Feb 2020; 34(2): 229-241. PMID 31769299
41. Lincoln NB, Bradshaw LE, Constantinescu CS, et al. Group cognitive rehabilitation to reduce the psychological impact of multiple sclerosis on quality of life: the CRAMMS RCT. *Health Technol Assess.* Jan 2020; 24(4): 1-182. PMID 31934845
42. Nauta IM, Bertens D, Fasotti L, et al. Cognitive rehabilitation and mindfulness reduce cognitive complaints in multiple sclerosis (REMIND-MS): A randomized controlled trial. *Mult Scler Relat Disord.* Mar 2023; 71: 104529. PMID 36736039
43. Brissart H, Omorou AY, Forthoffer N, et al. Memory improvement in multiple sclerosis after an extensive cognitive rehabilitation program in groups with a multicenter double-blind randomized trial. *Clin Rehabil.* Jun 2020; 34(6): 754-763. PMID 32475261
44. Chiaravalloti ND, DeLuca J, Moore NB, et al. Treating learning impairments improves memory performance in multiple sclerosis: a randomized clinical trial. *Mult Scler.* Feb 2005; 11(1): 58-68. PMID 15732268



45. Chiaravalloti ND, Moore NB, Niekshpur OM, et al. An RCT to treat learning impairment in multiple sclerosis: The MEMREHAB trial. *Neurology*. Dec 10 2013; 81(24): 2066-72. PMID 24212393
46. Rosti-Otajrvi E, Mntynen A, Koivisto K, et al. Neuropsychological rehabilitation has beneficial effects on perceived cognitive deficits in multiple sclerosis during nine-month follow-up. *J Neurol Sci*. Nov 15 2013; 334(1-2): 154-60. PMID 24011606
47. Mntynen A, Rosti-Otajrvi E, Koivisto K, et al. Neuropsychological rehabilitation does not improve cognitive performance but reduces perceived cognitive deficits in patients with multiple sclerosis: a randomised, controlled, multi-centre trial. *Mult Scler*. Jan 2014; 20(1): 99-107. PMID 23804555
48. Hanssen KT, Beiske AG, Landr NI, et al. Cognitive rehabilitation in multiple sclerosis: a randomized controlled trial. *Acta Neurol Scand*. Jan 2016; 133(1): 30-40. PMID 25952561
49. Shahpouri MM, Berekatain M, Tavakoli M, et al. Evaluation of cognitive rehabilitation on the cognitive performance in multiple sclerosis: A randomized controlled trial. *J Res Med Sci*. 2019; 24: 110. PMID 31949461
50. Chiaravalloti ND, Moore NB, Weber E, et al. The application of Strategy-based Training to Enhance Memory (STEM) in multiple sclerosis: A pilot RCT. *Neuropsychol Rehabil*. Mar 2021; 31(2): 231-254. PMID 31752604
51. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Post-COVID Conditions: Information for Healthcare Providers. December 16, 2022; <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/hcp/clinical-care/post-covid-conditions.html>. Accessed February 13, 2023.
52. Soriano JB, Murthy S, Marshall JC, et al. A clinical case definition of post-COVID-19 condition by a Delphi consensus. *Lancet Infect Dis*. Apr 2022; 22(4): e102-e107. PMID 34951953
53. Bell ML, Catalfamo CJ, Farland LV, et al. Post-acute sequelae of COVID-19 in a non-hospitalized cohort: Results from the Arizona CoVHORT. *PLoS One*. 2021; 16(8): e0254347. PMID 34347785
54. Fine JS, Ambrose AF, Didehbani N, et al. Multi-disciplinary collaborative consensus guidance statement on the assessment and treatment of cognitive symptoms in patients with post-acute sequelae of SARS-CoV-2 infection (PASC). *PM R*. Jan 2022; 14(1): 96-111. PMID 34902226
55. Graham EL, Clark JR, Orban ZS, et al. Persistent neurologic symptoms and cognitive dysfunction in non-hospitalized Covid-19 "long haulers". *Ann Clin Transl Neurol*. May 2021; 8(5): 1073-1085. PMID 33755344
56. Ceban F, Ling S, Lui LMW, et al. Fatigue and cognitive impairment in Post-COVID-19 Syndrome: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Brain Behav Immun*. Mar 2022; 101: 93-135. PMID 34973396
57. Vrettou CS, Mantziou V, Vassiliou AG, et al. Post-Intensive Care Syndrome in Survivors from Critical Illness including COVID-19 Patients: A Narrative Review. *Life (Basel)*. Jan 12 2022; 12(1). PMID 35054500
58. Oh ES, Vannorsdall TD, Parker AM. Post-acute Sequelae of SARS-CoV-2 Infection and Subjective Memory Problems. *JAMA Netw Open*. Jul 01 2021; 4(7): e2119335. PMID 34323990
59. De Luca R, Bonanno M, Calabr RS. Psychological and Cognitive Effects of Long COVID: A Narrative Review Focusing on the Assessment and Rehabilitative Approach. *J Clin Med*. Nov 04 2022; 11(21). PMID 36362782
60. Del Brutto OH, Rumbela DA, Recalde BY, et al. Cognitive sequelae of long COVID may not be permanent: A prospective study. *Eur J Neurol*. Apr 2022; 29(4): 1218-1221. PMID 34918425
61. Heesakkers H, van der Hoeven JG, Corsten S, et al. Clinical Outcomes Among Patients With 1-Year Survival Following Intensive Care Unit Treatment for COVID-19. *JAMA*. Feb 08 2022; 327(6): 559-565. PMID 35072716
62. Imamura M, Mirisola AR, Ribeiro FQ, et al. Rehabilitation of patients after COVID-19 recovery: An experience at the Physical and Rehabilitation Medicine Institute and Lucy Montoro Rehabilitation Institute. *Clinics (Sao Paulo)*. 2021; 76: e2804. PMID 34133481
63. Albu S, Rivas Zozaya N, Murillo N, et al. Multidisciplinary outpatient rehabilitation of physical and neurological sequelae and persistent symptoms of covid-19: a prospective, observational cohort study. *Disabil Rehabil*. Nov 2022; 44(22): 6833-6840. PMID 34559592
64. Liu K, Zhang W, Yang Y, et al. Respiratory rehabilitation in elderly patients with COVID-19: A randomized controlled study. *Complement Ther Clin Pract*. May 2020; 39: 101166. PMID 32379637
65. Barbara C, Clavario P, De Marzo V, et al. Effects of exercise rehabilitation in patients with long coronavirus disease 2019. *Eur J Prev Cardiol*. May 25 2022; 29(7): e258-e260. PMID 35078233
66. Farina E, Raglio A, Giovagnoli AR. Cognitive rehabilitation in epilepsy: An evidence-based review. *Epilepsy Res*. Jan 2015; 109: 210-8. PMID 25524861
67. Langenbahn DM, Ashman T, Cantor J, et al. An evidence-based review of cognitive rehabilitation in medical conditions affecting cognitive function. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. Feb 2013; 94(2): 271-86. PMID 23022261
68. Engelberts NH, Klein M, Adr HJ, et al. The effectiveness of cognitive rehabilitation for attention deficits in focal seizures: a randomized controlled study. *Epilepsia*. Jun 2002; 43(6): 587-95. PMID 12060017
69. Helmstaedter C, Loer B, Wohlfahrt R, et al. The effects of cognitive rehabilitation on memory outcome after temporal lobe epilepsy surgery. *Epilepsy Behav*. Apr 2008; 12(3): 402-9. PMID 18155965
70. Reichow B, Servili C, Yasamy MT, et al. Non-specialist psychosocial interventions for children and adolescents with intellectual disability or lower-functioning autism spectrum disorders: a systematic review. *PLoS Med*. Dec 2013; 10(12): e1001572; discussion e1001572. PMID 24358029
71. Wang M, Reid D. Using the virtual reality-cognitive rehabilitation approach to improve contextual processing in children with autism. *ScientificWorldJournal*. 2013; 2013: 716890. PMID 24324379
72. Eack SM, Greenwald DP, Hogarty SS, et al. Cognitive enhancement therapy for adults with autism spectrum disorder: results of an 18-month feasibility study. *J Autism Dev Disord*. Dec 2013; 43(12): 2866-77. PMID 23619953
73. Akel BS, Şahin S, Huri M, et al. Cognitive rehabilitation is advantageous in terms of fatigue and independence in pediatric cancer treatment: a randomized-controlled study. *Int J Rehabil Res*. Jun 2019; 42(2): 145-151. PMID 30741725
74. Zucchella C, Capone A, Codella V, et al. Cognitive rehabilitation for early post-surgery inpatients affected by primary brain tumor: a randomized, controlled trial. *J Neurooncol*. Aug 2013; 114(1): 93-100. PMID 23677749

75. Fernandes HA, Richard NM, Edelstein K. Cognitive rehabilitation for cancer-related cognitive dysfunction: a systematic review. *Support Care Cancer*. Sep 2019; 27(9): 3253-3279. PMID 31147780
76. Zeng Y, Cheng AS, Chan CC. Meta-Analysis of the Effects of Neuropsychological Interventions on Cognitive Function in Non-Central Nervous System Cancer Survivors. *Integr Cancer Ther*. Dec 2016; 15(4): 424-434. PMID 27151596
77. Poppelreuter M, Weis J, Mumm A, et al. Rehabilitation of therapy-related cognitive deficits in patients after hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *Bone Marrow Transplant*. Jan 2008; 41(1): 79-90. PMID 17934527
78. Butler RW, Copeland DR, Fairclough DL, et al. A multicenter, randomized clinical trial of a cognitive remediation program for childhood survivors of a pediatric malignancy. *J Consult Clin Psychol*. Jun 2008; 76(3): 367-78. PMID 18540731
79. Richard NM, Bernstein LJ, Mason WP, et al. Cognitive rehabilitation for executive dysfunction in brain tumor patients: a pilot randomized controlled trial. *J Neurooncol*. May 2019; 142(3): 565-575. PMID 30847839
80. Melamed E, Rydberg L, Ambrose AF, et al. Multidisciplinary collaborative consensus guidance statement on the assessment and treatment of neurologic sequelae in patients with post-acute sequelae of SARS-CoV-2 infection (PASC). *PM R*. May 2023; 15(5): 640-662. PMID 36989078
81. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Stroke rehabilitation in adults [NG236]. 2023; <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/CG162>. Accessed February 1, 2024.
82. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Dementia: assessment, management and support for people living with dementia and their carers [NG97]. 2018; <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng97>. Accessed February 1, 2024.
83. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). COVID-19 rapid guideline: managing the long-term effects of COVID-19 [NG188]. 2024; <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng188>. Accessed February 1, 2024.
84. Institute of Medicine. *Cognitive rehabilitation therapy for traumatic brain injury: evaluating the evidence*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press; 2011.
85. Department of Veteran Affairs Department of Defense. VA/DoD clinical practice guideline for management of concussion/mild traumatic brain injury. Washington (DC): Department of Veteran Affairs, Department of Defense; 2009.
86. Management of Concussion-mild Traumatic Brain Injury Working Group. VA/DoD clinical practice guideline for the management of concussion-mild traumatic brain injury, Version 2.0. Washington, DC: Department of Veterans Affairs, Department of Defense; 2016.
87. Department of Veterans Affairs/Department of Defense Management and Rehabilitation of Post-Acute Mild Traumatic Brain Injury Work Group. VA/DoD Clinical Practice Guideline for the Management and Rehabilitation of Post-Acute Mild Traumatic Brain Injury. Version 3.0, 2021. <https://www.healthquality.va.gov/guidelines/Rehab/mtbi/VADoDmTBICPGFinal508.pdf>. Accessed February 1, 2024.
88. Department of Veterans Affairs/Department of Defense Management of Stroke Rehabilitation Work Group. VA/DoD Clinical Practice Guideline for the Management of Stroke Rehabilitation. Version 4.0, 2019. <https://www.healthquality.va.gov/guidelines/Rehab/stroke/VADoDStrokeRehabCPGFinal8292019.pdf>. Accessed February 1, 2024.

## POLICY HISTORY - THIS POLICY WAS APPROVED BY THE FEP® PHARMACY AND MEDICAL POLICY COMMITTEE ACCORDING TO THE HISTORY BELOW:

Date	Action	Description
December 2011	New policy	
June 2013	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review, references updated, Policy statement unchanged.
June 2014	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through February 2014, adding references 2, 7-8 and 23-30. Investigational policy statement revised to include epilepsy/seizure disorders and autism spectrum disorders.
September 2015	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through January 26, 2015; references 17-18, 24, 26-27, and 35-49 added. Minor revision to medically necessary policy statement to clarify "cognitive impairment due to€š traumatic brain injury. Cognitive deficits due to brain tumor, prior treatment for cancer, or multiple sclerosis added as investigational.
June 2017	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through January 25, 2017; references 8, 12, 16-17, 26, 30, 32, 44, 45, and 53 added. Policy statements unchanged.
June 2018	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through January 11, 2018; no references added. Policy statements unchanged.
March 2019	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through January 9, 2019; no references added. Policy statements unchanged.
June 2020	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through January 28, 2020; references added. Policy statements unchanged.
June 2021	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through January 23, 2021; references added. Minor revision to summary of 2015 Clinical Input from American Association of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation; intent unchanged. Policy statements unchanged.
June 2022	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through February 11, 2022; references added. Evidence review and investigational policy statement for post-acute cognitive sequelae of SARS-CoV-2 infection was added.
June 2023	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through February 13, 2023; references added. Minor editorial refinements to policy statements; intent unchanged.
June 2024	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through February 1, 2024; references added. Policy statements unchanged.

The policies contained in the FEP Medical Policy Manual are developed to assist in administering contractual benefits and do not constitute medical advice. They are not intended to replace or substitute for the independent medical judgment of a practitioner or other health care professional in the treatment of an individual member. The Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association does not intend by the FEP Medical Policy Manual, or by any particular medical policy, to recommend, advocate, encourage or discourage any particular medical technologies. Medical decisions relative to medical technologies are to be made strictly by members/patients in consultation with their health care providers. The conclusion that a particular service or supply is medically necessary does not constitute a representation or warranty that the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Service Benefit Plan covers (or pays for) this service or supply for a particular member.