



FEP Medical Policy Manual

FEP 2.04.66 Serum Biomarker Human Epididymis Protein 4

Annual Effective Policy Date: April 1, 2024

Original Policy Date: December 2023

Related Policies:

2.04.62 - Multimarker Serum Testing Related to Ovarian Cancer

Serum Biomarker Human Epididymis Protein 4

Description

Description

Human epididymis protein 4 (HE4) is a novel biomarker that has been cleared by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration for monitoring patients with epithelial ovarian cancer. HE4 is proposed as a replacement for or a complement to cancer antigen 125 (CA 125) for monitoring disease progression and recurrence. HE4 has also been proposed as a test to evaluate women with ovarian masses and to screen for ovarian cancer in asymptomatic women.

OBJECTIVE

The objectives of this evidence review are to evaluate whether testing of serum human epididymis protein 4 improves the net health outcome for individuals with ovarian cancer, with adnexal masses, or who are asymptomatic and not at high-risk of ovarian cancer.

POLICY STATEMENT

Measurement of human epididymis protein 4 is **not medically necessary** for all indications.

POLICY GUIDELINES

None

BENEFIT APPLICATION

Experimental or investigational procedures, treatments, drugs, or devices are not covered (See General Exclusion Section of brochure).

FDA REGULATORY STATUS

Multiple HE4 test kits have been cleared by the FDA through the 510(k) process and summarized in Table 1. The FDA determined that this device was substantially equivalent to a CA 125 assay kit for use as an aid in monitoring disease progression or recurrence in patients with epithelial ovarian cancer. The FDA-approved indication states that serial testing for HE4 should be done in conjunction with other clinical methods used for monitoring ovarian cancer and that the HE4 test is not intended to assess the risk of disease outcomes.

Table 1. Serum Human Epididymis Protein 4 Tests Cleared by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration

Test	Manufacturer	Location	Date Cleared	510(k) No.
HE4 EIA Kit	Fujirebio Diagnostics	Malvern, PA	06/09/2008	K072939
ARCHITECT HE4 assay (CMIA)	Fujirebio Diagnostics	Malvern, PA	03/18/2010	K093957
ELECSYS HE4 (CMIA)	Roche Diagnostics	Indianapolis, IN	09/10/2012	K112624
Lumipulse G HE4 Immunoreaction Cartridges	Fujirebio Diagnostics	Malvern, PA	11/24/2015	K151378

CMIA: chemiluminescent microparticle immunoassay; HE4: human epididymis protein 4; EIA: enzymatic immunoassay.
FDA product code: OIU.

RATIONALE

Summary of Evidence

For individuals who have ovarian cancer who receive a measurement of serum biomarker human epididymis protein 4 (HE4), the evidence includes 7 nonrandomized prospective and retrospective studies comparing the diagnostic accuracy of HE4 with cancer antigen 125 (CA 125) for predicting disease progression and/or recurrence. Relevant outcomes are overall survival (OS), disease-specific survival, test validity, other test performance measures, and change in disease status. Data submitted to the U.S. Food and Drug Administration for approval of commercial HE4 tests found that HE4 was not inferior to CA 125 for detecting ovarian cancer recurrence. Although a single prospective observational study found elevated levels of HE4, but not CA 125, at the time of cancer progression to be significantly associated with reduced OS, a direct comparison between biomarkers was not provided. Overall, the superiority of HE4 to CA 125 (alone or in combination), the key question in the evidence review, was not demonstrated in the available literature. In addition, there is no established cutoff in HE4 levels for monitoring disease progression, and cutoffs in studies varied. There is no direct evidence from prospective controlled studies on the impact of HE4 testing on health outcomes, and no clear chain of evidence that changes in management based on HE4 would lead to an improved health outcome. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have adnexal masses who receive a measurement of serum biomarker HE4, the evidence includes diagnostic accuracy studies and meta-analyses. Relevant outcomes are OS, disease-specific survival, test validity, and other test performance measures. Meta-analyses have generally found that HE4 and CA 125 have a similar overall diagnostic accuracy (ie, sensitivity, specificity) and several found that HE4 has significantly higher specificity than CA 125, but not sensitivity. Two meta-analyses had mixed findings on whether the combination of HE4 and CA 125 is superior to CA 125 alone for the initial diagnosis of ovarian cancer. The number of studies evaluating the combined test is relatively low, and publication bias in studies of HE4 has been identified. In addition, studies have not found that HE4 improves diagnostic accuracy beyond that of subjective assessment of transvaginal ultrasound. There is no direct evidence from prospective controlled studies on the impact of HE4 testing on health outcomes, and no clear chain of evidence that changes in management based on HE4 would lead to an improved health outcome. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

The policies contained in the FEP Medical Policy Manual are developed to assist in administering contractual benefits and do not constitute medical advice. They are not intended to replace or substitute for the independent medical judgment of a practitioner or other health care professional in the treatment of an individual member. The Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association does not intend by the FEP Medical Policy Manual, or by any particular medical policy, to recommend, advocate, encourage or discourage any particular medical technologies. Medical decisions relative to medical technologies are to be made strictly by members/patients in consultation with their health care providers. The conclusion that a particular service or supply is medically necessary does not constitute a representation or warranty that the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Service Benefit Plan covers (or pays for) this service or supply for a particular member.

For individuals who are asymptomatic and not at high risk of ovarian cancer who receive screening with a serum biomarker HE4 test, the evidence includes several retrospective comparative studies and no prospective studies comparing health outcomes in asymptomatic women managed with and without HE4 screening. Relevant outcomes are OS, disease-specific survival, test validity, and other test performance measures. The retrospective studies found that HE4 levels increased over time in women ultimately diagnosed with ovarian cancer. Prospective comparative studies are needed to determine definitively whether HE4 testing is a useful screening tool. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Practice Guidelines and Position Statements

Guidelines or position statements will be considered for inclusion in 'Supplemental Information' if they were issued by, or jointly by, a US professional society, an international society with US representation, or National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Priority will be given to guidelines that are informed by a systematic review, include strength of evidence ratings, and include a description of management of conflict of interest.

American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists

Guidelines from the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) on evaluation and management of adnexal masses (2016, reaffirmed 2021) state that measurement of cancer antigen 125 (CA 125) is the most extensively studied serum marker to be used in combination with imaging to determine the likelihood of malignancy.³³ The authors also suggest that measurement of CA 125 is most useful for identification of nonmucinous epithelial cancer in postmenopausal women. Although the guideline mentions that human epididymis protein 4 (HE4) has recently been identified as a biomarker that may be useful for distinguishing between benign and malignant masses, no further recommendations regarding HE4 are provided.

In 2017 (reaffirmed 2021), a committee opinion document from ACOG and the Society of Gynecologic Oncology stated that tumor markers such as CA 125 and transvaginal ultrasound, alone or in combination, have not improved early detection or survival in women with average risk for ovarian cancer.³⁴ There is also a potential for harm if surgery is performed in response to a positive test result.

National Comprehensive Cancer Network

The National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) ovarian cancer guidelines (v.5.2022) state that, for monitoring and follow-up of patients with stage I to IV ovarian cancer with a complete response to initial treatment, "CA-125 [cancer antigen 125] or other tumor marker" should be used at "every visit if initially elevated".³⁵ The guidelines do not specify any marker other than CA 125 for monitoring patients after treatment. The guidelines also recommend "CA-125 or other tumor markers as clinically indicated" for patients referred with newly diagnosed ovarian cancer after recent surgical procedure.

Elsewhere, the NCCN guidelines provides the following comment about screening using HE4: "Some evidence suggests that HE4 [human epididymis protein 4] may be a useful prognostic marker in patients with ovarian cancer, decreases during response to treatment, and may improve early detection of recurrence relative to CA-125 alone.", The NCCN guidelines currently do not recommend routine HE4 as part of preoperative workup because results vary across studies.

Several biomarker combination tests have received Food and Drug Administration approval for estimating the risk of ovarian cancer in patients with adnexal masses and planned surgery. The Risk of Ovarian Malignancy Algorithm (ROMA) test includes HE4 plus CA-125 plus menopausal status, the OVA1 test includes 5 markers including CA-125 (but not HE4), and the OVERA test includes 5 markers including both CA-125 and HE4. The NCCN guidelines state the following about using these biomarker tests: " Currently, the NCCN Panel does not recommend the use of these biomarker tests for determining the status of an undiagnosed adnexal/pelvic mass."

The NCCN guidelines state the following on screening for ovarian cancer: "Very few biomarkers have been tested prospectively to determine whether they can detect ovarian cancer or predict development of ovarian cancer in women who have no other signs or symptoms of cancer. Data show that several markers (including CA-125, HE4, mesothelin, B7-H4, decoy receptor 3 [DcR3], and spondin-2) do not increase early enough to be useful in detecting early-stage ovarian cancer."

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

In 2011, NICE recommended using CA 125 to test for ovarian cancer in patients presenting to primary care providers with symptoms of ovarian cancer.³⁶ No other biomarker tests are mentioned in the NICE guidance.

U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendations

The **U.S. Preventive Services Task Force** updated its recommendations for screening for ovarian cancer in February 2018.³⁷ The Task Force recommended against screening for ovarian cancer in asymptomatic women (D recommendation). HE4 was not specifically discussed.

Medicare National Coverage

There is no national coverage determination. In the absence of a national coverage determination, coverage decisions are left to the discretion of local Medicare carriers.

REFERENCES

1. Surveillance Epidemiology and End Results Program (SEER). SEER Stat Fact: Ovarian Cancer. n.d.; <http://seer.cancer.gov/statfacts/html/ovary.html>. Accessed October 17, 2022.
2. Ledermann JA, Raja FA, Fotopoulou C, et al. Newly diagnosed and relapsed epithelial ovarian carcinoma: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. *Ann Oncol*. Oct 2013; 24 Suppl 6: vi24-32. PMID 24078660
3. Harris HR, Guertin KA, Camacho TF, et al. Racial disparities in epithelial ovarian cancer survival: An examination of contributing factors in the Ovarian Cancer in Women of African Ancestry consortium. *Int J Cancer*. Oct 15 2022; 151(8): 1228-1239. PMID 35633315
4. Rustin GJ, van der Burg ME, Griffin CL, et al. Early versus delayed treatment of relapsed ovarian cancer (MRC OV05/EORTC 55955): a randomised trial. *Lancet*. Oct 02 2010; 376(9747): 1155-63. PMID 20888993
5. Han Y, Jiang L, Liu K, et al. Predictive Value of HE4 in Platinum-Based Chemotherapy for Ovarian Cancer : A Systematic Review. *Front Oncol*. 2021; 11: 703949. PMID 34307173
6. Food and Drug Administration, 510(k) substantial equivalence determination decision summary: assay only (K072939). n.d.; http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/reviews/K072939.pdf. Accessed October 18, 2022.
7. Food and Drug Administration. 510(k) substantial equivalence determination decision summary: assay only (K093957). n.d.; http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/reviews/K093957.pdf. Accessed October 19, 2022.
8. Nassir M, Guan J, Luketina H, et al. The role of HE4 for prediction of recurrence in epithelial ovarian cancer patients-results from the OVCAD study. *Tumour Biol*. Mar 2016; 37(3): 3009-16. PMID 26419591
9. Vallius T, Hynninen J, Auranen A, et al. Postoperative human epididymis protein 4 predicts primary therapy outcome in advanced epithelial ovarian cancer. *Tumour Biol*. Feb 2017; 39(2): 1010428317691189. PMID 28218038
10. Potenza E, Parpinel G, Laudani ME, et al. Prognostic and predictive value of combined HE-4 and CA-125 biomarkers during chemotherapy in patients with epithelial ovarian cancer. *Int J Biol Markers*. Dec 2020; 35(4): 20-27. PMID 33126819
11. Salminen L, Gidwani K, Grenman S, et al. HE4 in the evaluation of tumor load and prognostic stratification of high grade serous ovarian carcinoma. *Acta Oncol*. Dec 2020; 59(12): 1461-1468. PMID 33030975
12. Rong Y, Li L. Early clearance of serum HE4 and CA125 in predicting platinum sensitivity and prognosis in epithelial ovarian cancer. *J Ovarian Res*. Jan 04 2021; 14(1): 2. PMID 33397458
13. Samborski A, Miller MC, Blackman A, et al. HE4 and CA125 serum biomarker monitoring in women with epithelial ovarian cancer. *Tumour Biol*. 2022; 44(1): 205-213. PMID 36189508
14. Olsen M, Lof P, Stiekema A, et al. The diagnostic accuracy of human epididymis protein 4 (HE4) for discriminating between benign and malignant pelvic masses: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand*. Oct 2021; 100(10): 1788-1799. PMID 34212386
15. Suri A, Perumal V, Ammalli P, et al. Diagnostic measures comparison for ovarian malignancy risk in Epithelial ovarian cancer patients: a meta-analysis. *Sci Rep*. Aug 27 2021; 11(1): 17308. PMID 34453074
16. Huang J, Chen J, Huang Q. Diagnostic value of HE4 in ovarian cancer: A meta-analysis. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol*. Dec 2018; 231: 35-42. PMID 30317143
17. Dayyani F, Uhlig S, Colson B, et al. Diagnostic Performance of Risk of Ovarian Malignancy Algorithm Against CA125 and HE4 in Connection With Ovarian Cancer: A Meta-analysis. *Int J Gynecol Cancer*. Nov 2016; 26(9): 1586-1593. PMID 27540691
18. Macedo AC, da Rosa MI, Lumertz S, et al. Accuracy of serum human epididymis protein 4 in ovarian cancer diagnosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Gynecol Cancer*. Sep 2014; 24(7): 1222-31. PMID 25078339
19. Wang J, Gao J, Yao H, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of serum HE4, CA125 and ROMA in patients with ovarian cancer: a meta-analysis. *Tumour Biol*. Jun 2014; 35(6): 6127-38. PMID 24627132
20. Zhen S, Bian LH, Chang LL, et al. Comparison of serum human epididymis protein 4 and carbohydrate antigen 125 as markers in ovarian cancer: A meta-analysis. *Mol Clin Oncol*. Jul 2014; 2(4): 559-566. PMID 24940495

21. Yang Z, Wei C, Luo Z, et al. Clinical value of serum human epididymis protein 4 assay in the diagnosis of ovarian cancer: a meta-analysis. *Onco Targets Ther.* 2013; 6: 957-66. PMID 23901285
22. Ferraro S, Braga F, Lanzoni M, et al. Serum human epididymis protein 4 vs carbohydrate antigen 125 for ovarian cancer diagnosis: a systematic review. *J Clin Pathol.* Apr 2013; 66(4): 273-81. PMID 23426716
23. Yu S, Yang HJ, Xie SQ, et al. Diagnostic value of HE4 for ovarian cancer: a meta-analysis. *Clin Chem Lab Med.* Feb 03 2012; 50(8): 1439-46. PMID 22868811
24. Kaijser J, Van Gorp T, Smet ME, et al. Are serum HE4 or ROMA scores useful to experienced examiners for improving characterization of adnexal masses after transvaginal ultrasonography?. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol.* Jan 2014; 43(1): 89-97. PMID 23828371
25. Moszynski R, Szubert S, Szperek D, et al. Usefulness of the HE4 biomarker as a second-line test in the assessment of suspicious ovarian tumors. *Arch Gynecol Obstet.* Dec 2013; 288(6): 1377-83. PMID 23722285
26. Nikolova T, Zivadinovic R, Evtimovska N, et al. Diagnostic performance of human epididymis protein 4 compared to a combination of biophysical and biochemical markers to differentiate ovarian endometriosis from epithelial ovarian cancer in premenopausal women. *J Obstet Gynaecol Res.* Dec 2017; 43(12): 1870-1879. PMID 29027715
27. Gentry-Maharaj A, Burnell M, Dilley J, et al. Serum HE4 and diagnosis of ovarian cancer in postmenopausal women with adnexal masses. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* Jan 2020; 222(1): 56.e1-56.e17. PMID 31351062
28. Carreras-Dieguez N, Glickman A, Munmany M, et al. Comparison of HE4, CA125, ROMA and CPH-I for Preoperative Assessment of Adnexal Tumors. *Diagnostics (Basel).* Jan 17 2022; 12(1). PMID 35054393
29. Lof P, van de Vrie R, Korse CM, et al. Can serum human epididymis protein 4 (HE4) support the decision to refer a patient with an ovarian mass to an oncology hospital?. *Gynecol Oncol.* Aug 2022; 166(2): 284-291. PMID 35688656
30. Anderson GL, McIntosh M, Wu L, et al. Assessing lead time of selected ovarian cancer biomarkers: a nested case-control study. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* Jan 06 2010; 102(1): 26-38. PMID 20042715
31. Urban N, Thorpe JD, Bergan LA, et al. Potential role of HE4 in multimodal screening for epithelial ovarian cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* Nov 02 2011; 103(21): 1630-4. PMID 21917606
32. Terry KL, Schock H, Fortner RT, et al. A Prospective Evaluation of Early Detection Biomarkers for Ovarian Cancer in the European EPIC Cohort. *Clin Cancer Res.* Sep 15 2016; 22(18): 4664-75. PMID 27060155
33. Eskander R, Berman M, Keder L. Practice Bulletin No. 174: Evaluation and Management of Adnexal Masses. *Obstet Gynecol.* Nov 2016; 128(5): e210-e226. PMID 27776072
34. Committee Opinion No. 716: The Role of the Obstetrician-Gynecologist in the Early Detection of Epithelial Ovarian Cancer in Women at Average Risk. *Obstet Gynecol.* Sep 2017; 130(3): e146-e149. PMID 28832487
35. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Ovarian Cancer Including Fallopian Tube Cancer and Primary Peritoneal Cancer. Version 5.2022. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/ovarian.pdf. Accessed October 18, 2022.
36. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Ovarian cancer: recognition and initial management [CG122]. 2011; <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg122>. Accessed October 18, 2022.
37. U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Recommendation Statement: Screening for Ovarian Cancer. 2018; file:///C:/Users/alt/Downloads/ovarian-cancer-final-rec-statement.pdf. Accessed October 20, 2022.

POLICY HISTORY - THIS POLICY WAS APPROVED BY THE FEP® PHARMACY AND MEDICAL POLICY COMMITTEE ACCORDING TO THE HISTORY BELOW:

Date	Action	Description
December 2023	New policy	Policy updated with literature review through October 18, 2022; references added. Policy statement unchanged. FEP Benefit changes. FEP new policy

The policies contained in the FEP Medical Policy Manual are developed to assist in administering contractual benefits and do not constitute medical advice. They are not intended to replace or substitute for the independent medical judgment of a practitioner or other health care professional in the treatment of an individual member. The Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association does not intend by the FEP Medical Policy Manual, or by any particular medical policy, to recommend, advocate, encourage or discourage any particular medical technologies. Medical decisions relative to medical technologies are to be made strictly by members/patients in consultation with their health care providers. The conclusion that a particular service or supply is medically necessary does not constitute a representation or warranty that the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Service Benefit Plan covers (or pays for) this service or supply for a particular member.