



FEP Medical Policy Manual

FEP 2.04.124 Genetic Testing for FLT3, NPM1, and CEBPA Variants in Cytogenetically Normal Acute Myeloid Leukemia

Annual Effective Policy Date: April 1, 2026

Original Policy Date: September 2014

Related Policies:

2.04.147 - Next-Generation Sequencing for the Assessment of Measurable Residual Disease

Genetic Testing for FLT3, NPM1, and CEBPA Variants in Cytogenetically Normal Acute Myeloid Leukemia

Description

Description

Treatment of acute myeloid leukemia (AML) is based on risk stratification, primarily related to age and tumor cytogenetics. In individuals with cytogenetically normal AML, the identification of variants in several genes, including *FLT3*, *NPM1*, and *CEBPA*, has been proposed to allow for further segregation in the management of this heterogeneous disease.

OBJECTIVE

The objective of this evidence review is to examine whether genetic testing for *FLT3*, *NPM1*, and *CEBPA* variants improve the net health outcome in individuals with cytogenetically normal acute myeloid leukemia.

POLICY STATEMENT

Genetic testing for *FLT3* internal tandem duplication (*FLT3*-ITD), *NPM1*, and *CEBPA* variants may be considered **medically necessary** in cytogenetically normal acute myeloid leukemia (see Policy Guidelines section).

Genetic testing for *FLT3*-ITD, *NPM1*, and *CEBPA* variants is considered **investigational** in all other situations.

Genetic testing for *FLT3* tyrosine kinase domain variants is considered **investigational**.

Genetic testing for *FLT3*, *NPM1*, and *CEBPA* variants to detect minimal residual disease is considered **investigational**.

POLICY GUIDELINES

Genetic testing for cytogenetically normal acute myeloid leukemia is intended to guide management decisions in individuals who would receive treatment other than low-dose chemotherapy or best supportive care.

BENEFIT APPLICATION

Experimental or investigational procedures, treatments, drugs, or devices are not covered (See General Exclusion Section of brochure).

Screening (other than the preventive services listed in the brochure) is not covered. Please see Section 6 General exclusions.

Benefits are available for specialized diagnostic genetic testing when it is medically necessary to diagnose and/or manage a patient's existing medical condition. Benefits are not provided for genetic panels when some or all of the tests included in the panel are not covered, are experimental or investigational, or are not medically necessary.

FDA REGULATORY STATUS

Clinical laboratories may develop and validate tests in-house and market them as a laboratory service; laboratory-developed tests must meet the general regulatory standards of the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments. Several laboratories offer these tests, including Quest Diagnostics, Medical Genetic Laboratories of Baylor College, Geneva Labs of Wisconsin, LabPMM, and ARUP Laboratories, and they are available under the auspices of the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments. Laboratories that offer laboratory-developed tests must be licensed under the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments for high-complexity testing. To date, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration has chosen not to require any regulatory review of this test.

The FDA has granted approval for midostaurin (Rydapt, Novartis Pharmaceuticals), gilteritinib (Xospata, Astellas Pharma US), and quizartinib (Vanflyta, Daiichi Sankyo) for the treatment of acute myeloid leukemia with a *FLT3* mutation as detected by an FDA-approved test. A list of cleared or approved companion diagnostic devices can be found at: <https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/in-vitro-diagnostics/list-cleared-or-approved-companion-diagnostic-devices-in-vitro-and-imaging-tools>.

RATIONALE

Summary of Evidence

For individuals who have cytogenetically normal acute myeloid leukemia (AML) who receive genetic testing for variants in *FLT3*, *NPM1*, and *CEBPA* to risk-stratify AML, the evidence includes randomized controlled trials (RCTs), retrospective observational studies, and systematic reviews of these studies. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, disease-specific survival, test validity, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. *FLT3*-internal tandem duplication (ITD) variants confer a poor prognosis, whereas *NPM1* (without the *FLT3*-ITD variant), biallelic *CEBPA*, and single basic leucine zipper region-mutant *CEBPA* variants confer a favorable prognosis. The prognostic effect of *FLT3*-tyrosine kinase domain (TKD) variants is uncertain. Data have suggested an overall survival benefit with transplantation for patients with *FLT3*-ITD but do not clearly demonstrate an overall survival benefit of transplantation for patients with *NPM1* and *CEBPA* variants. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have AML with a genetic variant in *FLT3*, *NPM1*, or *CEBPA*, the evidence for measurable residual disease (MRD) monitoring of these genetic variants is limited to mostly retrospective observational studies and a pooled analysis of 2 open-label, randomized controlled trials. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, disease-specific survival, test validity, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. Detection of MRD based on *NPM1* variant presence is associated with higher risks for relapse and lower overall survival. In a pooled analysis of 2 open-label RCTs using MRD assessments of genetic variants to guide treatment decisions, a survival benefit was observed in the subgroup of patients with baseline *NPM1* and *FLT3*-ITD mutations, but not in the subgroup with baseline *NPM1* mutations without *FLT3*-ITD. For the use of genetic variants to detect MRD, the evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Practice Guidelines and Position Statements

Guidelines or position statements will be considered for inclusion in 'Supplemental Information' if they were issued by, or jointly by, a US professional society, an international society with US representation, or National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Priority will be given to guidelines that are informed by a systematic review, include strength of evidence ratings, and include a description of management of conflict of interest.

National Comprehensive Cancer Network

Current National Comprehensive Cancer Network guidelines for acute myeloid leukemia (AML) (v.3.2026) provide the following recommendations:⁹

For the evaluation for acute leukemia, bone marrow core biopsy and aspirate analyses (including immunophenotyping by immunohistochemistry (IHC) stains with flow cytometry) and cytogenetic analyses are needed to risk stratify patients and potentially guide therapy of AML.

"Several gene mutations are associated with specific prognoses in a subset of patients (category 2A) and may guide treatment decisions (category 2B). Molecular analyses for lesions that allow risk stratification per ELN 2022 are recommended for all patients at diagnosis." All patients should be tested for mutations, and multiplex gene panels and comprehensive next-generation sequencing (NGS) analysis are recommended for the ongoing management of AML in various phases of treatment." "To appropriately stratify therapy options, test results of molecular and cytogenetic analyses of immediately actionable genes or chromosomal abnormalities should be expedited."

The guideline defined the following risk status based on molecular abnormalities:

Table 1. Risk Factors Based on Genetic Abnormalities

| Risk Category | Genetic Abnormality |
|---------------|---|
| Favorable | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> t(8;21)(q22;q22.1); <i>RUNX 1::RUNX1T1</i> inv(16)(p13.1q22) or t(16;16)(p13.1;q22); <i>CBFB::MYH11</i> bZIP in-frame mutated <i>CEBPA</i> Mutated <i>NPM1</i> without <i>FLT3</i>-ITD |

| | |
|--------------|--|
| Intermediate | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mutated <i>NPM1</i> with <i>FLT3</i>-ITD • Wild-type <i>NPM1</i> with <i>FLT3</i>-ITD (without adverse-risk genetic lesions) • t(9;11)(p21.3;q23.3); <i>MLL3-KMT2A</i> • Cytogenetic and/or molecular abnormalities not classified as favorable or adverse |
| Poor/Adverse | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • t(6;9)(p23.3;q34.1); <i>DEK::NUP214</i> • t(v;11q23.3); <i>KMT2A</i> rearranged • t(9;22)(q34.1;q11.2); <i>BCR::ABL1</i> • t(8;16)(p11.2;p13.3); <i>KAT6A::CREBBP</i> • inv(3)(q21.3q26.2) or t(3;3)(q21.3;q26.2); <i>GATA2,MECOM(EVI1)</i> • t(3q26.2:v); <i>MECOM(EVI1)</i>-rearranged • -5 or del(5q); -7; -17/abn(17p) • Complex karyotype, monosomal karyotype • Mutated <i>ASXL1, BCOR, EZH2, RUNX1, SF3B1, SRSF2, STAG2, U2AF1, and/or ZRSR2</i> • Mutated <i>TP53</i> |

Adapted from NCCN guidelines for AML (v.3.2026).

ITD: internal tandem duplication

The role of measurable (minimal) residual disease (MRD) assessment for prognosis and treatment is evolving. Per the NCCN:

- "There is compelling evidence in both children and adults with AML that detectable MRD following achievement of remission is associated with an increased risk of relapse."
- "The threshold to define MRD+ and MRD- samples depends on the technique and subgroup of AML."
- "The most frequently used methods for MRD assessment include quantitative molecular assays such as real-time quantitative PCR (RQ-PCR) and multicolor flow cytometry (MFC) assays specifically designed to detect abnormal MRD immunophenotypes."
- "The optimal sample for initial MRD assessment is a first, dedicated pull of the BM aspirate. The quality of the sample is of paramount importance to have reliable evaluation. Once MRD-negative remission by BM is achieved, peripheral blood can be utilized for surveillance of MRD for PML::RAR alpha, NPM1, CBFβ::MYH11, and RUNX1::RUNX1T1."
- "The Panel recommends RQ-PCR for detection of PML::RAR alpha, NPM1, CBFβ::MYH11, and RUNX1::RUNX1T1. Utilization of an assay with a minimum limit of detection of $\leq 10^{-4}$ is recommended."
- "For detection of FLT3-ITD, the Panel recommends a highly sensitive, NGS-based, targeted, deep-sequencing assay with a sensitivity level of $\leq 10^{-5}$."

In a section on the management of MRD positivity, the NCCN notes:

- "For NPM1, CBFβ::MYH11, and RUNX1::RUNX1T1-mutated AML, if MRD is persistently positive after induction and/or consolidation, consider a clinical trial or alternative therapies, including HCT."
- "As there is no clear optimal management of MRD positivity, the Panel favors a clinical trial for MRD positivity if available."

European LeukemiaNet

The European LeukemiaNet international expert panel recommendations for the diagnosis and management of adults with AML were updated in 2017 and again in 2022.^{61,62} The most recent update reflects the 2022 changes to the World Health Organization classification of AML. The panel recommended that screening for *NPM1*, *CEBPA*, and *FLT3* variants should be part of the diagnostic workup in patients with cytogenetically normal AML because they define disease categories that can inform treatment decisions. Table 2 outlines the risk stratification by genetic variants, and Table 3 summarizes recommended conventional care regimens based on patient fitness and risk characteristics, including mutations and other considerations.

The European LeukemiaNet MRD Working Party is an international expert panel convened with the objective of providing guidelines for technical assessment and clinical use of immunophenotypic and molecular MRD testing in AML; the panel's first consensus recommendations were published in 2018, and updated recommendations were published in 2021.^{63,7} In the 2021 update, the panel recommended that molecular MRD be assessed by real-time quantitative or digital polymerase chain reaction in patients with *NPM1*, *CBFβ-MYH11*, or *RUNX1-RUNX1T1* mutations, and by MFC in all other patients. NGS-based MRD monitoring is considered by the panel to be "useful to refine prognosis in addition to MFC but, to date, there are insufficient data to recommend NGS-MRD as a stand-alone technique." The panel also defined MRD positivity thresholds according to whether <FC or polymerase chain reaction techniques were used, and provisional MRD positivity thresholds for NGS techniques.

Table 2. Risk Stratification by Genetic Variant

| Risk Category | Genetic Abnormality |
|---------------|---|
| Favorable | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> t(8;21)(q22;q22.1)/RUNX1::RUNX1T1 inv(16)(p13.1q22) or t(16;16)(p13.1;q22)/CBFB::MYH11 Mutated NPM1 without FLT3-ITD Basic leucine zipper in-frame mutated CEBPA |
| Intermediate | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Mutated NPM1 with FLT3-ITD Wild-type NPM1 with FLT3-ITD (without adverse-risk genetic lesions) t(9;11)(p21.3;q23.3)/MLLT3::KMT2A Cytogenetic and/or molecular abnormalities not classified as favorable or adverse |
| Adverse | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> t(6;9)(p23.3;q34.1)/DEK::NUP214 t(v;11q23.3)/KMT2A-rearranged t(9;22)(q34.1;q11.2)/BCR::ABL1 t(8;16)(p11.2;p13.3)/KAT6A::CREBBP inv(3)(q21.3q26.2) or t(3;3)(q21.3;q26.2)/GATA2, MECOM(EVI1) t(3q26.2:v)/MECOM(EVI1)-rearranged -5 or del(5q); -7; -17/abn(17p) Complex karyotype, monosomal karyotype Mutated ASXL1, BCOR, EZH2, RUNX1, SF3B1, SRSF2, STAG2, U2AF1, and/or ZRSR2 Mutated TP53 |

Adapted from Dhner et al (2022).⁶²,

ITD: internal tandem duplication.

Table 3. Selected Conventional Care Regimens by Fitness and Risk Characteristics

| Patient Characteristics | Induction Therapy | Consolidation Therapy | Maintenance Therapy | Salvage therapy |
|--|--|--|---------------------|---|
| Considered fit for intensive therapy | | | | |
| With <i>FLT3</i> mutation | Anthracycline plus cytarabine ("7 + 3") plus midostaurin | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Intermediate-dose cytarabine plus midostaurin and/or If relapse probability with chemotherapy alone >35% to 40%*: allo-HCT | Midostaurin | Glitteritinib or options for other fit patients listed below |
| Without <i>FLT3</i> mutation | "7 + 3" | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Intermediate-dose cytarabine and/or If relapse probability with chemotherapy alone >35% to 40%*: allo-HCT | Oral azacitidine | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Intermediate-dose cytarabine with or without anthracycline FLAG-IDA chemotherapy MEC chemotherapy |
| CD33-positive AML with favorable- or intermediate- | "7 + 3" with ("other" option) or without gemtuzumab | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Intermediate-dose cytarabine with ("other" option) or without | -- | |

The policies contained in the FEP Medical Policy Manual are developed to assist in administering contractual benefits and do not constitute medical advice. They are not intended to replace or substitute for the independent medical judgment of a practitioner or other health care professional in the treatment of an individual member. The Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association does not intend by the FEP Medical Policy Manual, or by any particular medical policy, to recommend, advocate, encourage or discourage any particular medical technologies. Medical decisions relative to medical technologies are to be made strictly by members/patients in consultation with their health care providers. The conclusion that a particular service or supply is medically necessary does not constitute a representation or warranty that the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Service Benefit Plan covers (or pays for) this service or supply for a particular member.

| | | | | |
|--|---|---|----|--|
| risk disease | ozogamicin | gemtuzumab ozogamicin, and/or | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CLAG-M chemotherapy • allo-HCT |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If relapse probability with chemotherapy alone >35% to 40%*: allo-HCT | | |
| AML with myelodysplasia-related changes or therapy-related AML | "7 + 3" or liposomal-coformulated daunorubicin and cytarabine ("other" option) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Intermediate-dose cytarabine or liposomal-coformulated daunorubicin and cytarabine ("other" option), and/or • If relapse probability with chemotherapy alone >35% to 40%*: allo-HCT | -- | |
| Not considered fit for intensive therapy | | | | |
| With <i>FLT3</i> mutation | | | | Gilteritinib |
| Without <i>FLT3</i> mutation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Venetoclax plus either azacitidine or decitabine • Venetoclax plus low-dose cytarabine • <i>IDH1</i> mutation: ivosidenib with or without azacitidine • Best supportive care | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>IDH1</i> mutation: ivosidenib • <i>IDH2</i> mutation: enasidenib |

Adapted from Dhner et al (2022).⁶²

*Examples include intermediate- or adverse-risk disease and/or inadequate clearance of measurable residual disease.

allo: allogeneic, AML: acute myeloid leukemia, HCT: hematopoietic cell transplant.

U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendations

Not applicable.

Medicare National Coverage

There is no national coverage determination. In the absence of a national coverage determination, coverage decisions are left to the discretion of local Medicare carriers.

REFERENCES

1. American Cancer Society (ACS). Key Statistics for Acute Myeloid Leukemia (AML). 2025; <https://www.cancer.org/cancer/acute-myeloid-leukemia/about/key-statistics.html>. Accessed November 28, 2025.
2. Khoury JD, Solary E, Abla O, et al. The 5th edition of the World Health Organization Classification of Haematolymphoid Tumours: Myeloid and Histiocytic/Dendritic Neoplasms. *Leukemia*. Jul 2022; 36(7): 1703-1719. PMID 35732831
3. Arber DA, Orazi A, Hasserjian R, et al. The 2016 revision to the World Health Organization classification of myeloid neoplasms and acute leukemia. *Blood*. May 19 2016; 127(20): 2391-405. PMID 27069254
4. Dhner H, Estey EH, Amadori S, et al. Diagnosis and management of acute myeloid leukemia in adults: recommendations from an international expert panel, on behalf of the European LeukemiaNet. *Blood*. Jan 21 2010; 115(3): 453-74. PMID 19880497
5. Newell LF, Cook RJ. Advances in acute myeloid leukemia. *BMJ*. Oct 06 2021; 375: n2026. PMID 34615640
6. Ehinger M, Pettersson L. Measurable residual disease testing for personalized treatment of acute myeloid leukemia. *APMIS*. May 2019; 127(5): 337-351. PMID 30919505
7. Heuser M, Freeman SD, Ossenkuppele GJ, et al. 2021 Update on MRD in acute myeloid leukemia: a consensus document from the European LeukemiaNet MRD Working Party. *Blood*. Dec 30 2021; 138(26): 2753-2767. PMID 34724563
8. Levis M. FLT3 mutations in acute myeloid leukemia: what is the best approach in 2013?. *Hematology Am Soc Hematol Educ Program*. 2013; 2013: 220-6. PMID 24319184

9. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Acute Myeloid Leukemia. Version 3.2026. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/aml.pdf. Accessed November 24, 2025.
10. Whitman SP, Maharry K, Radmacher MD, et al. FLT3 internal tandem duplication associates with adverse outcome and gene- and microRNA-expression signatures in patients 60 years of age or older with primary cytogenetically normal acute myeloid leukemia: a Cancer and Leukemia Group B study. *Blood*. Nov 04 2010; 116(18): 3622-6. PMID 20656931
11. Patel JP, Gnen M, Figueroa ME, et al. Prognostic relevance of integrated genetic profiling in acute myeloid leukemia. *N Engl J Med*. Mar 22 2012; 366(12): 1079-89. PMID 22417203
12. Polak TB, Van Rosmalen J, Dirven S, et al. Association of FLT3-internal tandem duplication length with overall survival in acute myeloid leukemia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Haematologica*. Oct 01 2022; 107(10): 2506-2510. PMID 35796012
13. Daver N, Schlenk RF, Russell NH, et al. Targeting FLT3 mutations in AML: review of current knowledge and evidence. *Leukemia*. Feb 2019; 33(2): 299-312. PMID 30651634
14. Bazarbachi A, Bug G, Baron F, et al. Clinical practice recommendation on hematopoietic stem cell transplantation for acute myeloid leukemia patients with FLT3 -internal tandem duplication: a position statement from the Acute Leukemia Working Party of the European Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation. *Haematologica*. Jun 2020; 105(6): 1507-1516. PMID 32241850
15. Liersch R, Miller-Tidow C, Berdel WE, et al. Prognostic factors for acute myeloid leukaemia in adults--biological significance and clinical use. *Br J Haematol*. Apr 2014; 165(1): 17-38. PMID 24484469
16. Martelli MP, Sportoletti P, Tiacci E, et al. Mutational landscape of AML with normal cytogenetics: biological and clinical implications. *Blood Rev*. Jan 2013; 27(1): 13-22. PMID 23261068
17. Ohgami RS, Ma L, Merker JD, et al. Next-generation sequencing of acute myeloid leukemia identifies the significance of TP53, U2AF1, ASXL1, and TET2 mutations. *Mod Pathol*. May 2015; 28(5): 706-14. PMID 25412851
18. Cagnetta A, Adamia S, Acharya C, et al. Role of genotype-based approach in the clinical management of adult acute myeloid leukemia with normal cytogenetics. *Leuk Res*. Jun 2014; 38(6): 649-59. PMID 24726781
19. Li HY, Deng DH, Huang Y, et al. Favorable prognosis of biallelic CEBPA gene mutations in acute myeloid leukemia patients: a meta-analysis. *Eur J Haematol*. May 2015; 94(5): 439-48. PMID 25227715
20. Tarlock K, Lambale AJ, Wang YC, et al. CEBPA-bZip mutations are associated with favorable prognosis in de novo AML: a report from the Children's Oncology Group. *Blood*. Sep 30 2021; 138(13): 1137-1147. PMID 33951732
21. Taube F, Georgi JA, Kramer M, et al. CEBPA mutations in 4708 patients with acute myeloid leukemia: differential impact of bZIP and TAD mutations on outcome. *Blood*. Jan 06 2022; 139(1): 87-103. PMID 34320176
22. Port M, Bttcher M, Thol F, et al. Prognostic significance of FLT3 internal tandem duplication, nucleophosmin 1, and CEBPA gene mutations for acute myeloid leukemia patients with normal karyotype and younger than 60 years: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann Hematol*. Aug 2014; 93(8): 1279-86. PMID 24801015
23. Dickson GJ, Bustraan S, Hills RK, et al. The value of molecular stratification for CEBPA(DM) and NPM1(MUT) FLT3(WT) genotypes in older patients with acute myeloid leukaemia. *Br J Haematol*. Feb 2016; 172(4): 573-80. PMID 26847745
24. Wu X, Feng X, Zhao X, et al. Prognostic significance of FLT3-ITD in pediatric acute myeloid leukemia: a meta-analysis of cohort studies. *Mol Cell Biochem*. Sep 2016; 420(1-2): 121-8. PMID 27435859
25. Kuwatsuka Y, Tomizawa D, Kihara R, et al. Prognostic value of genetic mutations in adolescent and young adults with acute myeloid leukemia. *Int J Hematol*. Feb 2018; 107(2): 201-210. PMID 29027108
26. Rinaldi I, Louisa M, Wiguna FI, et al. Prognostic Significance of Fms-Like Tyrosine Kinase 3 Internal Tandem Duplication Mutation in Non-Transplant Adult Patients with Acute Myeloblastic Leukemia: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev*. Oct 01 2020; 21(10): 2827-2836. PMID 33112537
27. Issa GC, Bidikian A, Venugopal S, et al. Clinical outcomes associated with NPM1 mutations in patients with relapsed or refractory AML. *Blood Adv*. Mar 28 2023; 7(6): 933-942. PMID 36322818
28. Knapper S, Russell N, Gilkes A, et al. A randomized assessment of adding the kinase inhibitor lestaurtinib to first-line chemotherapy for FLT3-mutated AML. *Blood*. Mar 02 2017; 129(9): 1143-1154. PMID 27872058
29. Stone RM, Mandrekar SJ, Sanford BL, et al. Midostaurin plus Chemotherapy for Acute Myeloid Leukemia with a FLT3 Mutation. *N Engl J Med*. Aug 03 2017; 377(5): 454-464. PMID 28644114
30. Voso MT, Larson RA, Jones D, et al. Midostaurin in patients with acute myeloid leukemia and FLT3-TKD mutations: a subanalysis from the RATIFY trial. *Blood Adv*. Oct 13 2020; 4(19): 4945-4954. PMID 33049054
31. Perl AE, Martinelli G, Cortes JE, et al. Gilteritinib or Chemotherapy for Relapsed or Refractory FLT3 -Mutated AML. *N Engl J Med*. Oct 31 2019; 381(18): 1728-1740. PMID 31665578
32. Cortes JE, Khaled S, Martinelli G, et al. Quizartinib versus salvage chemotherapy in relapsed or refractory FLT3-ITD acute myeloid leukaemia (QuANTUM-R): a multicentre, randomised, controlled, open-label, phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol*. Jul 2019; 20(7): 984-997. PMID 31175001
33. Schlenk RF, Dhner K, Krauter J, et al. Mutations and treatment outcome in cytogenetically normal acute myeloid leukemia. *N Engl J Med*. May 01 2008; 358(18): 1909-18. PMID 18450602
34. Schlenk RF, Taskesen E, van Norden Y, et al. The value of allogeneic and autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation in prognostically favorable acute myeloid leukemia with double mutant CEBPA. *Blood*. Aug 29 2013; 122(9): 1576-82. PMID 23863898
35. Willemze R, Suci S, Meloni G, et al. High-dose cytarabine in induction treatment improves the outcome of adult patients younger than age 46 years with acute myeloid leukemia: results of the EORTC-GIMEMA AML-12 trial. *J Clin Oncol*. Jan 20 2014; 32(3): 219-28. PMID 24297940
36. Chou SC, Tang JL, Hou HA, et al. Prognostic implication of gene mutations on overall survival in the adult acute myeloid leukemia patients receiving or not receiving allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantations. *Leuk Res*. Nov 2014; 38(11): 1278-84. PMID 25260824
37. Ma Y, Wu Y, Shen Z, et al. Is allogeneic transplantation really the best treatment for FLT3/ITD-positive acute myeloid leukemia? A systematic review. *Clin Transplant*. Feb 2015; 29(2): 149-60. PMID 25430616

38. Tarlock K, Alonzo TA, Gerbing RB, et al. Gemtuzumab Ozogamicin Reduces Relapse Risk in FLT3/ITD Acute Myeloid Leukemia: A Report from the Children's Oncology Group. *Clin Cancer Res.* Apr 15 2016; 22(8): 1951-7. PMID 26644412
39. Ahn JS, Kim JY, Kim HJ, et al. Normal karyotype acute myeloid leukemia patients with CEBPA double mutation have a favorable prognosis but no survival benefit from allogeneic stem cell transplant. *Ann Hematol.* Jan 2016; 95(2): 301-10. PMID 26537612
40. Brunner AM, Li S, Fathi AT, et al. Haematopoietic cell transplantation with and without sorafenib maintenance for patients with FLT3-ITD acute myeloid leukaemia in first complete remission. *Br J Haematol.* Nov 2016; 175(3): 496-504. PMID 27434660
41. Versluis J, In 't Hout FE, Devillier R, et al. Comparative value of post-remission treatment in cytogenetically normal AML subclassified by NPM1 and FLT3-ITD allelic ratio. *Leukemia.* Jan 2017; 31(1): 26-33. PMID 27416910
42. Dhner H, Wei AH, Roboz GJ, et al. Prognostic impact of NPM1 and FLT3 mutations in patients with AML in first remission treated with oral azacitidine. *Blood.* Oct 13 2022; 140(15): 1674-1685. PMID 35960871
43. Bornhuser M, Illmer T, Schaich M, et al. Improved outcome after stem-cell transplantation in FLT3/ITD-positive AML. *Blood.* Mar 01 2007; 109(5): 2264-5; author reply 2265. PMID 17312001
44. DeZern AE, Sung A, Kim S, et al. Role of allogeneic transplantation for FLT3/ITD acute myeloid leukemia: outcomes from 133 consecutive newly diagnosed patients from a single institution. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant.* Sep 2011; 17(9): 1404-9. PMID 21324374
45. Doubek M, Muzk J, Szotkowski T, et al. Is FLT3 internal tandem duplication significant indicator for allogeneic transplantation in acute myeloid leukemia? An analysis of patients from the Czech Acute Leukemia Clinical Register (ALERT). *Neoplasma.* 2007; 54(1): 89-94. PMID 17233551
46. Gale RE, Hills R, Kottaridis PD, et al. No evidence that FLT3 status should be considered as an indicator for transplantation in acute myeloid leukemia (AML): an analysis of 1135 patients, excluding acute promyelocytic leukemia, from the UK MRC AML10 and 12 trials. *Blood.* Nov 15 2005; 106(10): 3658-65. PMID 16076872
47. Guize R, Cornillet-Lefebvre P, Lioure B, et al. Role of autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation according to the NPM1/FLT3-ITD molecular status for cytogenetically normal AML patients: a GOELAMS study. *Am J Hematol.* Dec 2012; 87(12): 1052-6. PMID 22911473
48. Labour G, Dulucq S, Labopin M, et al. Potent graft-versus-leukemia effect after reduced-intensity allogeneic SCT for intermediate-risk AML with FLT3-ITD or wild-type NPM1 and CEBPA without FLT3-ITD. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant.* Dec 2012; 18(12): 1845-50. PMID 22766221
49. Meshinchi S, Alonzo TA, Stirewalt DL, et al. Clinical implications of FLT3 mutations in pediatric AML. *Blood.* Dec 01 2006; 108(12): 3654-61. PMID 16912228
50. Ivey A, Hills RK, Simpson MA, et al. Assessment of Minimal Residual Disease in Standard-Risk AML. *N Engl J Med.* Feb 04 2016; 374(5): 422-33. PMID 26789727
51. Balsat M, Renneville A, Thomas X, et al. Postinduction Minimal Residual Disease Predicts Outcome and Benefit From Allogeneic Stem Cell Transplantation in Acute Myeloid Leukemia With NPM1 Mutation: A Study by the Acute Leukemia French Association Group. *J Clin Oncol.* Jan 10 2017; 35(2): 185-193. PMID 28056203
52. Dillon R, Hills R, Freeman S, et al. Molecular MRD status and outcome after transplantation in NPM1-mutated AML. *Blood.* Feb 27 2020; 135(9): 680-688. PMID 31932839
53. Grob T, Sanders MA, Vonk CM, et al. Prognostic Value of FLT3 -Internal Tandem Duplication Residual Disease in Acute Myeloid Leukemia. *J Clin Oncol.* Feb 01 2023; 41(4): 756-765. PMID 36315929
54. Loo S, Roberts AW, Anstee NS, et al. Sorafenib plus intensive chemotherapy in newly diagnosed FLT3-ITD AML: a randomized, placebo-controlled study by the ALLG. *Blood.* Dec 07 2023; 142(23): 1960-1971. PMID 37647654
55. Levis MJ, Hamadani M, Logan B, et al. Gilteritinib as Post-Transplant Maintenance for AML With Internal Tandem Duplication Mutation of FLT3. *J Clin Oncol.* May 20 2024; 42(15): 1766-1775. PMID 38471061
56. Levis MJ, Hamadani M, Logan BR, et al. Impact of transplant conditioning, NPM1 mutations, and measurable residual disease in FLT3-ITD acute myeloid leukemia. *Blood Adv.* Oct 28 2025; 9(20): 5123-5133. PMID 40590852
57. Othman J, Tiong IS, O'Nions J, et al. Molecular MRD is strongly prognostic in patients with NPM1-mutated AML receiving venetoclax-based nonintensive therapy. *Blood.* Jan 25 2024; 143(4): 336-341. PMID 37647641
58. Tiong IS, Hiwase DK, Abro E, et al. Targeting Molecular Measurable Residual Disease and Low-Blast Relapse in AML With Venetoclax and Low-Dose Cytarabine: A Prospective Phase II Study (VALDAC). *J Clin Oncol.* Jun 20 2024; 42(18): 2161-2173. PMID 38427924
59. Potter N, Jovanovic J, Ivey A, et al. Molecular monitoring versus standard clinical care in younger adults with acute myeloid leukaemia: results from the UK NCRI AML17 and AML19 randomised, controlled, phase 3 trials. *Lancet Haematol.* May 2025; 12(5): e346-e356. PMID 40306832
60. Bataller A, Oate G, Diaz-Bey M, et al. Acute myeloid leukemia with NPM1 mutation and favorable European LeukemiaNet category: outcome after preemptive intervention based on measurable residual disease. *Br J Haematol.* Oct 2020; 191(1): 52-61. PMID 32510599
61. Dhner H, Estey E, Grimwade D, et al. Diagnosis and management of AML in adults: 2017 ELN recommendations from an international expert panel. *Blood.* Jan 26 2017; 129(4): 424-447. PMID 27895058
62. Dhner H, Wei AH, Appelbaum FR, et al. Diagnosis and management of AML in adults: 2022 recommendations from an international expert panel on behalf of the ELN. *Blood.* Sep 22 2022; 140(12): 1345-1377. PMID 35797463
63. Schuurhuis GJ, Heuser M, Freeman S, et al. Minimal/measurable residual disease in AML: a consensus document from the European LeukemiaNet MRD Working Party. *Blood.* Mar 22 2018; 131(12): 1275-1291. PMID 29330221

POLICY HISTORY - THIS POLICY WAS APPROVED BY THE FEP® PHARMACY AND MEDICAL POLICY COMMITTEE ACCORDING TO THE HISTORY BELOW:

| Date | Action | Description |
|----------------|----------------|--|
| September 2014 | New policy | |
| September 2015 | Replace policy | Policy updated with literature review; references 10-13 and 20-22 added. Title revised and medically necessary statement added for CEBPA mutation. |
| March 2018 | Replace policy | Policy updated with literature review through November 6, 2017; references 2, 16-20, 23-26, 28, and 36-38 added. Policy statements unchanged. Title changed to "Genetic Testing for FLT3, NPM1, and CEBPA Variants in Cytogenetically Normal Acute Myeloid Leukemia, |
| March 2019 | Replace policy | Policy updated with literature review through October 29, 2018; no references added. Policy statements unchanged. |
| March 2020 | Replace policy | Policy updated with literature review through November 11, 2019; reference on NCCN guidelines updated. Policy statements unchanged. |
| March 2021 | Replace policy | Policy updated with literature review through November 22, 2020; references added. Policy statements unchanged. |
| March 2022 | Replace policy | Policy updated with literature review through November 15, 2021; references added. Policy statements unchanged. |
| March 2023 | Replace policy | Policy updated with literature review through November 15, 2022; references added. Minor editorial refinements to policy statements; intent unchanged. |
| March 2024 | Replace policy | Policy updated with literature review through December 4, 2023; no references added. Policy statements unchanged. |
| March 2025 | Replace policy | Policy updated with literature review through November 27, 2024; references added. Policy statements unchanged. |
| March 2026 | Replace policy | Policy updated with literature review through November 26, 2025; references added. Policy statements unchanged. |

The policies contained in the FEP Medical Policy Manual are developed to assist in administering contractual benefits and do not constitute medical advice. They are not intended to replace or substitute for the independent medical judgment of a practitioner or other health care professional in the treatment of an individual member. The Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association does not intend by the FEP Medical Policy Manual, or by any particular medical policy, to recommend, advocate, encourage or discourage any particular medical technologies. Medical decisions relative to medical technologies are to be made strictly by members/patients in consultation with their health care providers. The conclusion that a particular service or supply is medically necessary does not constitute a representation or warranty that the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Service Benefit Plan covers (or pays for) this service or supply for a particular member.